UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,623	08/01/2006	Eitan Bar	CM06381EI	3942
22917 MOTOROLA, I	7590 09/17/200 INC .	EXAMINER		
1303 EAST AL	GONQUIN ROAD		MAPA, MICHAEL Y	
IL01/3RD SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docketing.US@motorola.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/597,623	BAR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Mapa	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Au</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 20-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t	-			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/01/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/597,623 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in UNITED KINGDOM on 12/13/03. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 0328923.8 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 08/01/06 has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 20-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mikkola (US Patent Publication 2004/0024902) in view of TETRA STANDARDS (ETS 300 396-3 herein after referenced as TETRA).

Regarding claim 20, Mikkola discloses "a method of radio communication comprising at a mobile station" (Paragraphs [0085], [0089] & [0092], wherein Mikkola discloses the parameters of the UT can be changed and the list of scanned groups can be edited by the user and wherein the UT checks and compares the priorities of the

Art Unit: 2617

received speech item, therefore within the mobile station). Mikkola discloses "maintaining at least a first communication group set comprising an ordered list of two or more user groups for the purpose of scanning for radio frequency activity among some or all of the groups;" (Paragraph [0089], wherein Mikkola discloses the list of scanned groups with priorities). Mikkola discloses "conducting a surveillance procedure to determine if there is any radio frequency activity comprising a communication amongst the group;" (Fig. 6, Paragraph [0092], wherein Mikkola discloses the UT checks whether a speech item is received and whether the priority of received speech item A is higher than the priority of speech item in the buffer). Mikkola discloses "wherein the first communication group set comprises user groups (A, B, C) which communicate together by direct mode communication on an associated direct mode radio frequency channel for the group, and (Paragraph [0081] – [0082] and [0089], wherein Mikkola discloses a user joining a group, wherein a group could be a normal group or an ad hoc group (direct mode) and wherein a user belonging to multiple groups hears traffic from one group at the time but traffic from a more important group will interrupt other traffic).

Mikkola fails to explicitly recite "conducting a surveillance procedure periodically" and "wherein each of the direct mode radio frequency channels associated with the groups of the ordered list is sampled periodically to determine if there is any radio frequency activity comprising a direct mode communication on the direct mode radio frequency channel." However, the examiner maintains that it was well known in the art for the system and method of Mikkola to use the specifics and standard taught in TETRA.

Art Unit: 2617

TETRA discloses a "conducting a surveillance procedure periodically" and "wherein each of the direct mode radio frequency channels associated is sampled periodically to determine if there is any radio frequency activity comprising a direct mode communication on the direct mode radio frequency channel." (TETRA STANDARD 8.4.2.2.1 (Page 77), wherein TETRA discloses continuous monitoring of the DM radio frequency carrier which means that a DM-MS shall sample the DM radio frequency carrier at a sufficient rate so that the presence of a DSB may be determined.)

Mikkola discloses TETRA as a known standard in the field (Paragraph [0040] of Mikkola). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system and method taught by Mikkola to use the standards taught in TETRA with regards and applicable to the invention of Mikkola, the motivation for the combination being to conform with the known standard. Mikkola in view of TETRA STANDARDS reads on claimed "wherein each of the direct mode radio frequency channels associated with the groups of the ordered list is sampled periodically to determine if there is any radio frequency activity comprising a direct mode communication on the direct mode radio frequency channel."

Regarding claim 21, Mikkola in view of TETRA discloses "the method according to claim 20 wherein each of the direct mode radio frequency channels is sampled to detect a presence signal indicating presence of a particular group associated with the direct mode channel on the direct mode channel." (Paragraph [0089] in view of TETRA STANDARDS 8.4.2.2.1 (Page 77), wherein Mikkola discloses hearing traffic from one group at the time but traffic from a more important group will interrupt other traffic.

Page 5

therefore detecting a presence signal)

Regarding claim 22, Mikkola in view of TETRA discloses "frequency channels whose state is free in a single frame" (Fig. 1, TETRA STANDARDS 4.3.2 (Page 18) & 8.4.2.1 (Page 76), wherein TETRA discloses a frame with "OCC" denoting occupation of slot 3, and a channel free definition wherein no activity is detected other than possible receipt of presence signals indicating channel is free). Mikkola in view of TETRA fails to explicitly recite "the method according to claim 20 wherein samples of some or all consecutive group radio frequency channels whose state is free or unknown are conducted in a single frame." However the examiner maintains that it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Mikkola in view of TETRA to incorporate what is known in the art.

It is commonly known in the art to use multiplexing for the purpose of sharing an expensive resource as is evident as a reference only in Shridhar et al. (US Patent 7406042 herein after referenced as Shridhar). Shridhar discloses multiple channels within a single frame (Column 5, Lines 54-56 of Shridhar)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate what is commonly known in the art of multiple channels within a single frame for the purpose of conserving network resources and as such reads on claimed "the method according to claim 20 wherein samples of some or all consecutive group radio frequency channels whose state is free or unknown are conducted in a single frame."

Regarding claim 23, Mikkola discloses "the method according to claim 20 wherein if there is currently no group activity on any of the surveyed channels, then a mobile station acting as a first master mobile station initiating a call or service to start on any of the groups determines a physical and logical time division pattern for all surveyed channels." (TETRA STANDARD 4.3.2 (Page 18), wherein TETRA discloses the calling DM-MS may linearize its transmitter then establishes the channel synchronization and its role as master by transmitting synchronization bursts.)

Regarding claim 24, Mikkola discloses "the method according to claim 20 wherein all other mobile stations detecting a first call or service, synchronise to the time division pattern, adopting the same frame and slot numbering as a first master mobile station." (TETRA STANDARDS 4.3.2 (Page 18) & 4.3.4 (Page 20), wherein TETRA discloses the process of synchronizing with the master wherein the timing state of the channel, including the frame and slot numbers is determined)

Regarding claim 25, Mikkola in view of TETRA discloses "the method according to claim 23 wherein each master mobile station making a direct mode call transmits a presence signal in a specific time slot to indicate a group to which the call relates."

(Paragraph [0089], wherein Mikkola discloses traffic from one group will be interrupted by traffic from a more important group, therefore a presence signal in a timeslot specified for the important group)

Regarding claim 26, Mikkola in view of TETRA discloses "the method of direct mode radio communication according to claim 25 wherein the specific time slot in which a master mobile station transmits a presence signal is related to a position within the

Art Unit: 2617

ordered list of the group that the master mobile station is communicating with."

(Paragraph [0089], wherein Mikkola discloses comparing priorities of the different groups, therefore the timeslot are given the same priorities as the group within the list and as such is related to a position (priority) within the ordered list of the group)

Regarding claim 27, Mikkola in view of TETRA discloses "the method of direct mode radio communication according to claim 26 wherein the specific time slot in which the master mobile station transmits is within a TETRA request bit map associated frame related to the position within the ordered list of the group that the master mobile station is communicating with." (TETRA STANDARDS 9.6.13 (Page 148) & Fig. 1 of 4.3.2 (Page18), wherein TETRA discloses the request bitmap to be timeslot 3 of frames 1, 4, 7, 9, 10... and is therefore associated with the timeslots for communication.)

Regarding claim 28, Mikkola in view of TETRA discloses "the method of direct mode radio communication according to claim 26", however, fails to explicitly disclose "wherein the master mobile station signals all call or service recipients that the TETRA request bit map associated time slots are not available for random access requests." However, the examiner maintains that it is well known in the art to modify the invention of Mikkola in view of TETRA to set the request bit map associated timeslots as not available for random access.

TETRA discloses a master MS may conduct dual watch operation or some form of battery economy mode during channel reservation by dictating which frames the random access messages will be used and monitored by the master MS. (TETRA STANDARDS 8.4.7.9 (Page 92))

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Mikkola in view of TETRA to set the request bit map associated timeslots as not available for random access request, the purpose of which is to conserve network resources (battery economy mode) by not having to allocate resources to monitor the request bitmap timeslots.

Regarding claim 29, Mikkola in view of TETRA discloses "the method of direct mode radio communication according to claim 26 wherein any slave or idle mobile station surveys a specific time slot on a relevant channel to determine if there is any radio frequency activity, the time slot channel being related to the position within the ordered list of the group that the slave or idle mobile station is currently surveying." (TETRA STANDARDS 8.4.2.2.2 (Page 77), wherein TETRA discloses any DM-MS (Direct Mode Mobile Station) in idle mode shall periodically conduct further channel surveillance in order to detect any DSBs (Direct Mode Synchronization Burst) present on the DM radio frequency carrier)

Regarding claim 30, Mikkola discloses "a mobile station for direct mode communication comprising: storage means storing at least a first direct mode group set comprising an ordered list of two or more user groups together with their respective associated direct mode radio frequency channels, for the purpose of scanning for alternative radio frequency activity among some or all of the groups;" (Paragraphs [0082], [0085], [0089] & [0092], wherein Mikkola discloses the parameters of the UT can be changed and the list of scanned groups can be edited by the user and wherein the groups can be an ad hoc group (direct mode) and wherein the UT checks and

compares the priorities of the received speech item.)

Mikkola fails to explicitly recite "wherein the mobile station is operable, for those groups in the ordered list whose radio frequency channel state is free or unknown, to conduct a channel surveillance procedure wherein each of the direct mode radio frequency channels associated with the groups of the ordered list is sampled periodically to determine if there is any radio frequency activity comprising a direct mode communication." However, the examiner maintains that it was well known in the art for the system and method of Mikkola to use the specifics and standard taught in TETRA.

TETRA discloses a "channel state is free or unknown" (TETRA STANDARDS Fig. 1, 4.3.2 (Page 17) & 8.4.2.1 (Page 76), wherein TETRA discloses a frame with "OCC" denoting occupation of slot 3, and a channel free definition wherein no activity is detected other than possible receipt of presence signals indicating channel is free) and "conducting a surveillance procedure periodically" and "wherein each of the direct mode radio frequency channels associated is sampled periodically to determine if there is any radio frequency activity comprising a direct mode communication on the direct mode radio frequency channel." (TETRA STANDARD 8.4.2.2.1 (Page 77), wherein TETRA discloses continuous monitoring of the DM radio frequency carrier which means that a DM-MS shall sample the DM radio frequency carrier at a sufficient rate so that the presence of a DSB may be determined.)

Mikkola discloses TETRA as a known standard in the field (Paragraph [0040] of Mikkola). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 10/597,623 Page 10

Art Unit: 2617

time the invention was made to modify the system and method taught by Mikkola to use the standards taught in TETRA with regards and applicable to the invention of Mikkola, the motivation for the combination being to conform with the known standard. Mikkola in view of TETRA STANDARDS reads on claimed "wherein the mobile station is operable, for those groups in the ordered list whose radio frequency channel state is free or unknown, to conduct a channel surveillance procedure wherein each of the direct mode radio frequency channels associated with the groups of the ordered list is sampled periodically to determine if there is any radio frequency activity comprising a direct mode communication."

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Mapa whose telephone number is (571)270-5540. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY TO THURSDAY 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on (571)272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,623 Page 11

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Mapa/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/NICK CORSARO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

.